CHAPTER-VI

BRITISH POWER IN MADURAI REGION

Various diplomatic steps were taken by the British to establish their power in the Madurai Country or Pandya *Mandalam*. But in their attempts to gain ascendancy, the British had to face strong native resistance put up by the Poligars of Dindigul and the constant troubles created by the Nawab and his agents like *amuldars* and *faujdars*. Madurai, "the Athens of South India" and "the Mother City of Tamil", is well known for its progressive and assimilative culture and civilization. Its historical pre-eminence was established since the dawn of history. Madurai remained the capital of the Pandyas from the early centuries of the Christian Era to the Fourteenth Century, barring the Kalabhra Interregnum. In the Fourteenth Century, Madurai came under the rising tide of Afghan imperialism. The oppressive rule of the Madurai Sultanate was brought to an end by Kumara Kampana of Vijayanagar Empire. The Vijayanagar Rule in Madurai lasted from 1371 to the later half of the Sixteenth Century. Viswanatha Nayak, one of the able lieutenants of Krishnadevaraya of Vijayanagar, founded the Nayak Rule in Madurai, which continued upto 1736 when the last Nayak Ruler Queen Meenakshi, was cheated and deposed and consequently the Afghan Muslim Rule was established in Madurai. It lasted till the end of the Eighteenth Century. In 1801, Madurai passed into the hands of the British from the Nawabs of Arcot by
the Carnatic Treaty. While Muslims captured Madurai by their muscle power, the British used not only their gun power but also diplomacy and treachery. Thus the Afghans, Vijayanagar Emperors, Nayaks, Arcot Nawabs and finally the British emerged as Masters of Madurai by force. Since 1750s, the British were involved in the affairs of the Nawab of Carnatic and supported his cause in the Carnatic Wars by providing men and materials. Unable to clear the 'Carnatic Debts' to the British, the Nawab assigned the Southern Poligar Region to the latter. When the British realized the fertility of Madurai and Tirunelveli Regions, they wanted to establish their authority.

The British annexed Madurai Country in a phased manner. The Dindigul Region was captured from Tipu Sultan during the Third Mysore War in 1790 and formally ceded in 1792. The revenue administration of Madurai assumed significance due to the conclusion of the Carnatic Treaty with the Nawab of Arcot in 1801. The Dindigul Poligars were rebellious chiefs who disobeyed to the authority of the British. Taking advantage of the change of rule, they became restless. The early British Collectors frequently undertook military action to bring them under control. The Poligars formed formidable alliance against the British and organized resistance to the British authority. The insurrections of Poligars were suppressed and the British consolidated their authority over the region. This

---

facilitated the British to introduce new revenue system based upon the Bengal version of Permanent Revenue Settlement under Zamindari Tenure.

**Control over Dindigul**

Dindigul, under the Nayak Rule, was a part of Madurai Country. It fell under the Mohammedan Rule when Chanda Sahib acquired the possession of Tiruchirappalli in 1736. In 1740, the Marathas took Tiruchirappalli and carried off Chanda Sahib as prisoner.\(^2\) Earlier to this event, Dindigul was ceded by an agreement to the Government of Mysore. This cession was made in preference to allowing the region to fall into the hands of the invading Marathas.\(^3\) About 1760, Khan Sahib, the rebel Commandant of the British, set up the flag of independence in Madurai. The rebel detachment under Sirdar Sherkhan took possession of Dindigul. He attacked Baleopoh, Manager of King of Mysore at Dindigul and occupied several areas. They remained in his possession until the capture of Madurai by the allied army of Nawab of Carnatic and the British. During the First Mysore War, the region was for a short period (1767-1768) in the possession of British Government.\(^4\)

---


In 1772, it was granted by Hyder Ali to his brother-in-law, Mir Sahib, to be held in return for certain military services. However, the relation between Hyder Ali and the British got strained, which led to another war. During the Second Mysore War, Dindigul was captured by Col. Lang in April 1783. In the temporary period of occupation, the British changed the management of revenue affairs. All Pollams, taken possession of by Tipu Sultan, were restored to their former Poligars. But the revenue affairs were managed by John Sullivan, the British Resident of Tanjore. In 1784, by the Treaty of Mangalore, Dindigul was restored to Tipu Sultan. He granted this region to Syed Sahib, his relative and the nephew of Mir Sahib on the same terms as it was done by Hyder Ali. In 1788, Tipu Sultan himself came down to Dindigul and took over all Pollams except Combai, Mambarai, Idaiyankottai and Sandaiyur for arrears of payment of peshcush (annual payment to the Government). All Poligars were dispossessed of their Pollams. When the Third Mysore War between the British and Tipu Sultan broke out in 1790, the former's army under Col. James Stuart captured Dindigul and Palghat.

In 1792, the British were assisted by the Marathas and Nizam's armies to fight against Mysore. Seringapatam was captured only in 1799. Tipu Sultan, afraid

---
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of the imminent capture of his capital, opened negotiation for a peace treaty. Accordingly the Treaty of Seringapatam was signed between Governor General Cornwallis and Tipu Sultan on February 22, 1792. As per its provisions, the latter agreed to surrender half of the dominion which he had possessed before the war, to the British and their allies.⁸ The British retained the territory of strategic importance around Dindigul, Salem and Malabar.⁹ When the British took control over Dindigul, it consisted of twenty six Pollams which were under the possession of chieftains. After subduing the Poligars under the authority of the British, the Pollams were restored to the respective chieftains. It marked the beginning of the revenue settlement in the region. The twenty six Pollams were: Idaiyankottai, Combai, Mambarai, Sandaiyur, Eriyadu, Madur, Palani, including Ayagoody and Rettiambody, Sukkampalli, Gudalur, Cumbum, Vadagarai, Ambaturrai, Ammayanayakkunur, Bodinayakkunur, Emakkalapuram, Erasakkanayakkunur, Guntamanayakkunur, Kannivadi, Marunuttu, Nilakkottai, Palliyappanayakkunur, Tavsimadai, Thevaram, Tottiyanakkottai and Virupakshi.¹⁰

---


End of Dualism in Madurai

After the extinction of the Nayak line of Madurai, the Nawab of Arcot held sway over the districts of Tiruchirappalli, Madurai and Tirunelveli. Mohammed Ali became an undisputed Nawab after the death of Chanda Sahib in 1752 and became a sovereign ruler of the Carnatic in 1755. In 1765, Mohammed Ali was confirmed as the Nawab of Carnatic by the firman of Shah Alam, the Emperor of Delhi. The firman declared his independent authority under the title, ‘Wallajah-Ameer-ul-Hind’.

Subsequently a period of confusion followed until 1781 when the European powers, with the help of the local allies, entered into frequent wars. In 1785, the Nawab assigned Madurai and Tirunelveli to the British for a temporary period on the basis of a Carnatic Treaty. These territories were gradually brought under British control, along with the rest of the Carnatic, by another treaty in 1787. In 1792, Lord Cornwallis concluded a treaty with Mohammed Ali and it annulled the former treaty and all the forts in the Carnatic were to be garrisoned by British troops. The British were conferred with the right to collect the tribute from Poligars and the revenue of the Carnatic. They paid one fifth of the revenue to the Nawab. The Treaty did not work satisfactorily and the British made no attempt to change it during the life of Mohammed Ali. During the Anglo-Mysore Wars, they

accused the Nawab of maintaining secret correspondence with Tipu Sultan and the suspected documents were found out among Tipu Sultan's papers after the capture of Seringapatam.\(^ \text{12} \)

The death of Mohammed Ali in 1795 gave an opportunity to the British to assume the revenue collection of the Carnatic. As his eldest son, Umdut-ul-Umara, declined to conclude a treaty with the British, they acted diplomatically to establish British sovereignty over the region.\(^ \text{13} \) Edward Clive, the Governor of Madras, concluded a treaty with Azim-ul-Doulah, the junior branch of Mohammed Ali's family, with the sanction of Wellesley, the Governor General of India.\(^ \text{14} \) It terminated dual rule over Madurai. The Collector of Dindigul was appointed as the Collector of the whole region by the assumption of the Madurai Country. He took charge of the districts from the Nawab's faujudars.\(^ \text{15} \) In 1801, one proclamation

---
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was issued to appoint Hurdis, the Collector of Dindigul, as the Collector of the whole reorganized Madurai District.\textsuperscript{16}

\textbf{Revenue Settlement in the Poligar and Sircar Territories}

On the acquisition of Dindigul, the Maduari Country consisted partly of the sircar territory and partly of the territory under the hereditary military chiefs known as Poligars. The Poligars were allowed to remit a fixed amount as the payment of \textit{peshcush} (tribute) or revenue to government annually. They were left to collect the public dues from the ryots in their respective Pollams according to the established usage of the country until the introduction of a new system of revenue administration.\textsuperscript{17}

On September 1, 1790, Alexander Macleod was appointed as the Collector of Dindigul. He forwarded the account of Poligars to the Board of Revenue stating that most of them were unjustly dispossessed of their Pollams by Tipu Sultan. The British conquest restored them their traditional rights and privileges. However, the Poligars took advantage of the change in Government, seized some lands and claimed other neighbouring Pollams. The British forces moved against the
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rebellious Poligars who possessed numerous armed peons. After firm action, they were ready to come to terms with the Collector for settlement.

The Collector was obliged to transact business with them. On January 19, 1791, the Collector again complained of the refractory conduct of Poligars and appealed for military help. Consequently the troops were despatched to maintain the Collector's authority in February 1791. With the help of the powerful troops, the Collector was able to bring the Poligars under control and entered into agreement with them. Subsequently he reported the completion of the settlement with the Poligars to the Board of Revenue.18 Thus the Poligars had to come to Collector's camp once a year for settlement of the government dues and each promised the Collector to pay some reasonable amount of tribute considering the seasons and crops.19

Macleod, the Collector, also adopted the system of renting out sircar territory. For this purpose, the sircar territory was divided into six revenue units-Todikombu, Periyakulam, Vattilagundu, Andipatti, Uthamapalayam and Cumbum for annual revenue settlement either to renters or to village headmen.20 The revenue from the sircar revenue units were collected through renters when they

---
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acquired the area. One village or one region was given to the renters.21 The British Collector delivered a cowle (patta) to the village headman of each village which took muchilika (written engagement) from him and fixed the period for the payment of governmental share. It became the responsibility of the village headman to pay the amount.22 The Collector farmed out the villages to renters either individually or in groups through tenders. The renters, however, freely levied exorbitant rates and resorted to oppression. It was almost impossible for the oppressed to seek redressal for their grievances. The assessment was payable either in kind or in cash. Thus the primitive mode of collecting revenue continued for several years.23

**Uprising of the Poligars**

The Poligars of several Pollams withheld the payment of tribute to the British. The Collector took over the defaulted Pollams to recover the balance of rent.24 The arrears of revenue were collected with the help of British sepoys. The Collector in this period frequently requested the Madras Government to provide


him with companies of sepoys to collect the arrears of revenue from the Poligars. In the whole of Dindigul Region, confusion and chaos prevailed. Cumbum and Gudalur were claimed by the King of Travancore. Poligar Copia Nayak of Sandiyoor plundered the sircar territory and compelled the people to refuse payment to the British Authority. According to the order of the Board of Revenue, the Collector proceeded to Sandiyur, accompanied by a detachment, in June 1795. The Pollam was sequestered and the Poligar fled to the hill. The Poligar of Devadanapatti assisted Sandiyur Poligar in his rebellious activities. The Collector considered him as the enemy of the British. The Poligar of Bodinayakkanur prevented the entry of the Collector when he moved towards the gates of the fort by employing his armed peons. But the British sepoys acted swiftly and opened fire as a defensive measure. The Poligar of Vadagari sent 400 armed men to assist the Bodinayakkanur Poligar. The Board of Revenue directed the Collector to apprehend him and enquire about his conduct. It authorized the Collector to apply military force, if necessary. There was a boundary dispute

between the Poligars of Ayagoody and Virupakshi. There was lawlessness during that period.\textsuperscript{31} As the situation became uncontrollable, the Collector published a notification in 1795 directing the Poligar of Dindigul to disband all his armed followers. If the Poligar failed to obey the orders of the Collector, it was to be considered as an act of defiance to the British and in which case, the Collector was empowered to take military action against such refractory conduct.\textsuperscript{32}

Thus it is clear that after the British assumption, the whole Madurai region was constantly in disorder for about six years. The Poligars withheld payment of their dues. The renters followed their example. The collection of revenue dwindled to the lowest ebb. In 1796, the Government appointed a Commission, consisting of William Harrington and William Macleod, to enquire into the cause of the disorder. The earlier Collectors did little to establish order in the region. The Commission reported that the District fell a prey to the political confusion and its revenue administration was defective in nature. The \textit{karnams} and \textit{amuldars} combined together to produce false accounts. The renters bullied their tenants. The Poligars went about with armed bands and annoyed the ryots in \textit{sircar} lands. After completing the report, the Commission handed over the District to Thomas
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Bowyer Hurdis, the new Collector in 1796. For some years, he was fully occupied with the suppression of the unruly Poligars.33

Suppression of the Poligars

In 1797, Lord Hobart, the Governor of Madras, suggested a method of rendering the Poligars useful subjects and obedient tributaries to the British Government. The Court of Directors, in their despatch of June 5, 1799, issued orders for the absolute suppression of the military power of the Poligars. In the meantime, the Poligars of Tirunelveli organized insurrection against the British. But they were suppressed through military action.34 The dissatisfied Southern Poligars were influenced by Marudu brothers of Sivagangai and Gopala Nayak, the Poligar of Virupakshi. They despatched secret emissaries to the discontented Poligars who were organizing against the Authority of the British. They intrigued to bring all the discontented Poligars under one common objective of ousting the British from the South.35 The continuous refractory misconduct of these Poligars
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led the Collector to sequester their Pollams. The confiscated Poligars gained strength by equipping their people with matchlock and pike. The Poligars of Virupakshi, Kannivadi and Devadanampatti were close relatives. They not only possessed armed strength but also influenced other Poligars to be rebellious. The Collector requested the Madras Government to provide him with a powerful army to frustrate their attempt to overthrow the British Government.\textsuperscript{36} The discontented Poligars of Dindigul and Tirunelveli and other chiefs of the South formed a Confederacy at Virupakshi near Dindigul in 1800 and decided to carry out simultaneous rebellion against the British. They, thus, planned for a general insurrection over the entire Southern Peninsular India.\textsuperscript{37}

The Collector directed the troops to march to Virupakshi to establish order since the Confederation of Poligars had declared war against the British. The Collector proposed to punish those Poligars who misbehaved continuously against the Authority of the British in spite of repeated warnings.\textsuperscript{38} Consequently the Government promulgated an order in Virupakshi, which banned the use of firearms and other weapons in the Pollam of Virupakshi.\textsuperscript{39} In the Dindigul Region
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alone there were 14,280 armed peons employed by different Poligars for the purpose of their defense. Among them, 4090 were engaged on occasional pay and 10,190 were employed against *punjay maniyam*.\(^{40}\) Besides, all those who lived in the pollams were loyal to the Poligars. When the Poligars called upon the cultivators for defensive purpose, everyone was ready to do so with match locks, pikes and other offensive weapons to execute their orders.\(^{41}\) Their military and independent spirit led them to revolt against the British. The latter employed military force to suppress the armed strength of the Poligars.\(^{42}\) As the first step, the Collector punished Poojari Nayak of Devadanampatti for his rebellious conduct as a warning to other Poligars under his authority.\(^{43}\)

In 1801, a major insurrection took place in the Southern Pollams of Tirunelveli, Dindigul and Sivagangai. It challenged the Authority of the British. The British mobilized a powerful army to suppress them. The British defeated the rebellious Poligars and strengthened their authority. The British systematically assaulted their strongholds and suppressed them in all places in Tirunelveli and


Sivagangai. The rebels under Oomathurai and Sevathiah of Panjalamkurichi fled to Dindigul. Col. Agnew of the British Army sent a detachment under Col. Innes and launched offensive operation against the rebels. This well-organized attack resulted in the suppression of the rebellion and the leaders were arrested by the victorious British Army. The turbulent Poligars were compelled to obey the Authority of the Government. Gopala Nayaka, the Poligar of Virupakshi, was constantly engaged in revolt against the government. He was responsible for the Confederacy of Poligars during the rebellion of 1800-1801. Hence the British captured him, along with his son Muthuvallamanayakkan, and both were executed in November 1801. Among the twenty six pollams in Dindigul Region, Palani, Eriyadu and Virupakshi were sequestered and the Poligars were punished. Rettiambadi, Marunuttu and Devadanampatti were eschewed for want of legal heir. Leading Poligars and other adherents, who were captured in Dindigul during the rebellion of 1801, were subsequently tried before a tribunal and finally sentenced to death for their rebellious behaviour against the British. After a confinement of several years, Lakshmi Nayaka, the Chief of Dindigul and four


principal adherents were executed at Virupakshi March 26, 1805. On the next day, in Palani, three other prisoners were sentenced to death. A proclamation was read out in these two Pollams, explaining the fate of the people who took up arms against the Government. Those who took part in the rebellion received a general amnesty on condition that they should remain faithful to the British.\(^4\) When the Government discovered that forts and military peons of the Poligars posed a hazard to public peace and order, the Collectors were instructed to destroy the forts and disband the armed peons.\(^4\) In December 1801, after the suppression of the rebellion, Col. Agnew issued a proclamation which declared possessing weapons as an offence. It again stated that cash award was to be given to those who surrendered their weapons like pikes, pistols and matchlocks to the Government.\(^5\) The Government ordered the unearthing of all concealed weapons of the Poligars, demolition of all the forts as a measure to prevent Poligar insurrections in future.\(^5\) The proclamation of Col. Agnew was published in all parts of Madurai. Consequently the inhabitants surrendered all their offensive weapons to the Government. In this way, the British disarmed the inhabitants in
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order to ensure peace. At the same time, it strengthened the administration to prevent the problem of concealment of weapons in case of an armed rebellion.\(^{52}\)

**Obedient Zamindars**

After the suppression of the rebellion, the ferocious and turbulent character of the Poligars was transformed. They became peaceful and beneficial agents of the British under the new revenue settlement based on the Zamindari System. Thus in 1801, the era of struggle between the Poligars and the ruling British came to an end.\(^{53}\) Since the Poligars retained their special influence and authority in their Pollams, the British found it convenient to entrust the collection of revenue with them. Since the Poligars feared the deprivation of their power, the Government proceeded to implement a new revenue settlement in order to pacify the Poligars.\(^{54}\) With this end in view, the Madras Government December 1, 1801, issued a proclamation, applicable to the Poligars of Tirunelveli, Madurai, Sivagangai and Dindigul, to disarm the regions and to abolish the military service. At the same time, the proclamation also declared Permanent Revenue Settlement and converted the Poligars into Zamindars of their ancestral Pollams. The Poligari Pollams became Zamindari Estates under the changed circumstances. It was the
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solemn pledge of the Government to permanently assess Pollams as Zamindaris.\textsuperscript{55} The proclamation was followed by the assessment of land in the Pollams and amount fixed by the Government was considered as the permanent \textit{peshcush} payable to the Government. The military service was given up and money payment was encouraged. Their armed peons were converted into revenue servants.\textsuperscript{56} However, the Poligars were saved from humiliation by conferring on them the new status of Zamindars under the Permanent Revenue Settlement.

The suppression of the Poligars enabled the British to strengthen their Authority in Madurai Region.\textsuperscript{57} The British mobilized their strength to suppress all rebellious Poligars. A number of Poligars lost their Pollams for their rebellious activities and a large number of them were severely punished. It led to the establishment of internal order and peace. Their forts were destroyed and their armed peons were disbanded. The position of the British was consolidated. The introduction of Permanent Settlement converted the Poligars into Zamindarids and the British entered into agreement with them. Thus the British emerged powerful and established their paramountcy in the erstwhile Madurai Region.
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