

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page	
3.1	Parameters and the values of the parameters used in the experiment.	46
3.2	Variation in Accuracies Obtained by the proposed method for different Constraints	50
3.3	Detected OS and NON-OS Images	51
4.1	Existing Images versus Classified Images	66
5.1	CT and Rt values of the Sample Input Image	79
5.2	GLCM Features and obtained values for Oil Spill region and look-Alike	81
5.3	Feature Geometric Values for Oil Spill Region	82
5.4	Feature Geometric Values for Look-Alike Region	82
5.5	Texture Feature Values for Oil Spills	82
5.6	Texture Feature Values for Look-Alike Region	83
5.7	Backscatter Feature Values for Oil Spill	83
5.8	Backscatter Feature Values for Look-Alike	83
5.9	Performance Evaluation	84
6.1	Accuracy, sensitivity and specificity values for both existing and proposed techniques	107
6.2	Comparison between existing and proposed classification techniques	108
7.1	Existing Images versus Classified Images	110
7.2	Performance Evaluation	110
7.3	Classification techniques for object as Oil	111
7.4	Classification techniques for object as Look-Alike	112
7.5	Comparison based on Accuracy, sensitivity and specificity values for both existing and proposed techniques	113

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1	5
1.2	6
(a) A radar pulse is transmitted from the antenna to the ground.	6
(b) The radar pulse is scattered by the ground targets back to the antenna	6
1.3	7
1.4	10
Passive imaging systems conserve the angular relationships between objects in the scene and their images in the focal plane of the instrument.	
1.5	11
Imaging geometry for a side-looking radar system	
3.1	42
Proposed Model	
3.2	47
A sample of Commission Area and Elimination Area	
3.3	48
Different Input Images used in the Experiment	
3.4	48
Performance Evaluation over Detection Accuracy	
3.5	50
Experimental Results obtained in Each stage of the Proposed Approach	
4.1	55
Sample SAR Images	
4.2	57
Overall Functionality of Proposed ACADS	
4.3	64
SVM Classification	
4.4	65
Input Image	
4.5	65
Noise Removed Image	
4.6	65
Gray Scaled and Enhanced Image	
4.7	66
Binary Image For Binary Operations	
4.8	66
Detected and Segmented Oil Spill Portion in the SAR Image	
5.1	72
Multi Class SVM	
5.2	76
Overall Functionality of DT-MSVM approach	

5.3	Sample Input Images	77
5.4	Image Enhancement	
	a) Enhanced image (Bright)	78
	b) Enhanced image (Contrast)	79
5.5	(a) Binary Image	79
	(b) Binarized Image for Morphological Operations	80
5.6	Morphological Operation (Dilation)	80
5.7	Fused oil Spill Detection	81
6.1	Oil spill images	88
6.2	Overall flow of the proposed AETC based oil spill segmentation and classification system	89
6.3	(a) Input image 1 and (b) Input image 2	91
	(c) Preprocessed image 1 and (d) Preprocessed image 2	
6.4	(a) Contour result image 1 (b) Contour result image 2	93
	(c) Binary cluster image 1 (d) Binary cluster image 2	
	(e) Clustered output image 1 (f) Clustered output image 2	
6.5	(a) Texture pattern extraction image 1 (b) Texture pattern extraction image 2 using CHV	99
6.6	(a) ROI extraction image 1 and (b) ROI extraction image 2	99
6.7	(a) Segmented oil spilled area image 1 and	101
	(b) Segmented oil spilled area image 2 using AETC	
6.8	Sample ENVISAT ASAR images	102
6.9	Segmentation accuracy	103
6.10	Sensitivity and Specificity	104
6.11	Jaccard and Dice	105
6.12	Hausdorff Distance	106
6.13	Comparative analysis between existing and proposed classification techniques	107
7.1	Comparative analysis between the existing and proposed techniques based on the type of dark object Oil Percentage	111
7.2	Comparative analysis between the existing and proposed techniques based on the type of dark object Look -Alike	112