

# LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
1.1	Table showing Reliability statistics of different factors selected for the study	19
1.2	Organizational Strength of the Commercial Taxes Department of Kerala	21
1.3	Region – wise classification of employees	22
1.4	Research Factors: Identified main- factors and sub – factors	27
2.1	Percentage of different tax incomes on the state’s total tax revenue for 2010 – 2011.	50
2.2	VAT and Non VAT collection to State’s exchequer	51
2.3	Annual Growth Rate in Collection of Sales Tax / VAT	53
2.4	Organizational Strength of the Commercial Taxes Department of Kerala	55
2.5	Specific Performance Requirements from other Departments	56
3.1	List of union heads of taxation powered by the Parliament of India	72
3.2	List of state heads of taxation powered by the State Legislature	73
3.3	Income tax rates for financial year 2011 – 2012	75
3.4	Rate of Security Transaction tax	76
3.5	Rate of Corporate tax in India	77
3.6	Schedule and Rates of tax under VAT	91
4.1	Distribution of different categories of employees by Gender	115
4.2	Designation – wise Classification of Employees	116
4.3	Distribution of the educational Qualification of employees by category	116
4.4	Number of years of experience of Top level employees in CTD	117
4.5	Total Experience of Middle level employees	118
4.6	Total Experience of Lower level employees	118
4.7	Experience level of employees in the present position	119
4.8	Placement mode	120
4.9	Distribution of different categories of employees by Mode of Transfer	121
4.10	District - wise Distribution	122
4.11	Preferred Districts to Work	124

4.12	Mode of Evaluation under percentage score	126
4.13	Factor I - Levy and collection of Tax	128
4.14	Factor II - Audit and inspection	135
4.15	Factor III – Training and development	139
4.16	Factor IV - Check post management	142
4.17	Factor V - Tax reforms and amendments	145
4.18	Group Statistics of Southern, Central and Northern region in case of Multiple Discriminant Analysis	147
4.19	Test of Equality of Group Means of ‘Southern’ and ‘Northern’ region	149
4.20	Test of Equality of Group Means ‘Centre’ and ‘Northern’ region	150
4.21	Test of Equality of Group Means ‘Southern’ and ‘Centre’ region	151
4.22	Eigenvalues	152
4.23	Wilks' Lambda	152
4.24	Functions at Group Centroids in Southern and Central region	153
4.25	Functions at Group Centroids in Central and Northern region	153
4.26	Functions at Group Centroids in Southern and Northern region	153
4.27	Standardized canonical discriminant function coefficients	154
4.28	Structure Matrix for Southern and Central region	156
4.29	Structure Matrix for Central and Northern region	157
4.30	Structure Matrix for Southern and Northern region	158
4.31	Descriptive Statistics:- Levy and collection of tax – combined	161
4.32	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for levy and collection of tax	162
4.33	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards ‘Levy and collection of tax’	163
4.34	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Filing of return by dealers	165
4.35	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Filing of return by dealers	165
4.36	Two – way ANOVA – Filing of return by dealers	166
4.37	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for filing of return	167
4.38	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards ‘filing of return by dealers’	167

4.39	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Scrutiny of return	169
4.40	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Scrutiny of return	170
4.41	Two – way ANOVA – Scrutiny of return	170
4.42	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for filing of return	171
4.43	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards 'filing of return by dealers'	171
4.44	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Return data management	173
4.45	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Return data management	174
4.46	Two – way ANOVA – Return data management	174
4.47	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Return data management	175
4.48	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards 'Return data management'	175
4.49	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Tax Assessment	177
4.50	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Tax Assessment	178
4.51	Two – way ANOVA – Tax Assessment	178
4.52	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Tax Assessment	179
4.53	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards 'Tax Assessment'	179
4.54	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Appeals, revision...etc	181
4.55	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Appeals, revision...etc	182
4.56	Two – way ANOVA – Appeals, revision...etc.	182
4.57	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Appeals, Revision...etc	183
4.58	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards 'Appeals, Revision...etc'	183
4.59	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Management Information System	185
4.60	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Management Information System	186
4.61	Two – way ANOVA – Management Information System (MIS)	186

4.62	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Management Information System (MIS)	187
4.63	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards 'Management Information System (MIS)'	188
4.64	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Computerisation	190
4.65	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Computerisation	190
4.66	Two – way ANOVA – Computerisation	191
4.67	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Computerisation	191
4.68	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards 'Computerisation'	192
4.69	Descriptive Statistics:- Audit and inspection – combined	195
4.70	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Audit and inspection in Dealers Premises	196
4.71	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Audit and inspection in Dealers Premises	196
4.72	Two – way ANOVA - Audit and inspection in Dealers Premises	196
4.73	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Audit and inspection in dealer premises,	197
4.74	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards 'Audit and inspection in dealer premises,'	198
4.75	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Inspection of goods in transit	200
4.76	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means – Inspection of goods in transit	201
4.77	Two – way ANOVA - Inspection of Goods in Transit	201
4.78	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Inspection of goods in transit	202
4.79	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards 'Inspection of goods in transit'	203
4.80	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Internal/A.G. Audit	206
4.81	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Internal/ A. G. Audit	206

4. 82	Two – way ANOVA - Internal/A. G. Audit	206
4.83	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Internal and A.G Audit	207
4.84	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards ‘Internal and A.G Audit	208
4.85	Descriptive Statistics:- Training and development – combined	211
4.86	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Department Training Practices	211
4.87	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Department Training Practices	212
4.88	Two – way ANOVA - Department Training Practices	212
4.89	shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for department training practices	213
4.90	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards ‘department training practices’	213
4.91	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Areas of Training	215
4.92	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Areas of Training	216
4.93	Two – way ANOVA - Areas of Training	216
4.94	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Areas of training	217
4.95	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards ‘Areas of training’	217
4.96	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Training Results / Achievements	219
4.97	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Training Results/Achievements	220
4.98	Two – way ANOVA - Training Results/Achievements	220
4.99	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Training results / achievements	221
4.100	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards ‘Training results / achievements’	221
4.101	Descriptive Statistics:- Check post management – combined	224
4.102	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Check Post Authorities	224

4.103	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Check Post Authorities	225
4.104	Two – way ANOVA - Check Post Authorities	225
4.105	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Check post authorities	226
4.106	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards 'Check post authorities'	226
4.107	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Vehicle Checking Procedures at Check Post	228
4.108	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Vehicle Checking Procedures at Check Post	229
4.109	Two – way ANOVA - Vehicle Checking Procedures at Check Post	229
4.110	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Vehicle checking procedures at check post	230
4.111	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards 'Vehicle checking procedures at check post'	230
4.112	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Collection of Advance Taxes, Penalties and Security	232
4.113	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Collection of Advance Taxes, Penalties and Security	233
4.114	Two – way ANOVA - Collection of Advance Taxes, Penalties and Security	233
4.115	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for Collection of advance taxes, penalty and security	234
4.116	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards 'Collection of advance taxes, penalty and security'	235
4.117	Descriptive Statistics:- Tax reforms and amendments – combined	237
4.118	Area – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Tax Reforms and Amendments	237
4.119	Designation – wise Estimated Marginal Means - Tax Reforms and Amendments	238
4.120	Two – way ANOVA - Tax Reforms and Amendments	238
4.121	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for tax reforms and amendments	239

4.122	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards ‘tax reforms and amendments’	239
4.123	Estimated Marginal Means for area and selected dependent factor	241
4.124	Estimated Marginal Means for designation and selected dependent factor	242
4.125	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects – respondents towards five factors	243
4.126	Multivariate Tests	244
4.127	Shows that the Iteration history for the 2 dimensional solution (in squared distances) and Young's S-stress formula for levy and collection of tax	245
4.128	Multidimensional Scaling (ALSCAL) Model showing perceptions and preference of respondents towards ‘effectiveness of Tax administration in Commercial Taxes Department’	245
4.129	Correlation analysis for all factors (factor 1 to 5)	248
4.130	Model Summary	252
4.131	ANOVA	252
4.132	Coefficients	252
5.1	Identified factors and sub – sub – factors	259

---