

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Parameter Settings for GETUS	51
3.2	Packet delivery ratios for 40% malicious node with node mobility varying between 0 to 20 m/s	54
3.3	Communication overhead, for 30% malicious node with node mobility varying between 0 to 20 m/s	60
4.1	TBUT Parameter Settings	70
5.1	Parameter Settings for CLUS	88
6.1	Throughput for GETUS, plain AODV, ETUS and TBUT	98
6.2	Communication overhead for GETUS, plain AODV, ETUS and TBUT	99
6.3	Throughput for GETUS, plain AODV, ETUS, TBUT and CLUS	100
6.4	False positives for GETUS, TBUT, CLUS and ETUS	100
6.5	False negatives for GETUS, TBUT, CLUS and ETUS	101
6.6	Communication overhead for GETUS, plain AODV, ETUS, TBUT and CLUS	101

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Ad Hoc Wireless Network	2
1.2	Cellular Network	3
2.1	Watchdog Mechanism	30
3.1	Self-Umpiring System Model	38
3.2	Enhanced triple Umpiring System Model	40
3.3	Comparison of Generic ETUS with various malicious nodes in Percentage	52
3.4	Comparison of ETUS and generic ETUS with 40% of malicious nodes	52
3.5	Failure to deduct probability as a function of mobility and percentage malicious nodes	55
3.6	Comparison of ETUS and generic ETUS with 40 % of malicious nodes	56
3.7	Accusation probability as a function of mobility and percentage malicious nodes	57
3.8	False positive, for 40 % selfish node with node mobility varying between 0 and 20 m/s	58
3.9	Comparison of generic ETUS with various malicious nodes in percentage	59
3.10	Comparison of generic ETUS and ETUS Vs mobility with 40 % malicious nodes	59
4.1	Neighboring nodes reputation	68
4.2	Comparison of TBUT throughput with various malicious nodes in percentage	71
4.3	Comparison of TBUT and ETUS with 40 % of malicious nodes	72
4.4	Comparison of generic ETUS, TBUT and ETUS in presence of 40 % of malicious nodes	72

4.5	False Negatives for 40% selfish node with node mobility Varying between 0 and 20 m/s	73
4.6	False Negatives Comparison of TBUT and ETUS with 40 % of malicious nodes	74
4.7	False Negatives Comparison of generic ETUS, TBUT and ETUS in presence of 40 % of malicious nodes	75
4.8	Accusation probability as a function of mobility and percentage malicious nodes	76
4.9	False Positives Comparison of TBUT and ETUS with 40 % of malicious nodes	76
4.10	False Positives Comparison of generic ETUS, TBUT and ETUS in presence of 40 % of malicious nodes	77
4.11	Communication overhead Vs mobility with various percentages of malicious nodes	77
4.12	Communication overhead Comparison of TBUT and ETUS with 40 % of malicious nodes	78
4.13	Communication overhead Comparison of generic ETUS, TBUT and ETUS in presence of 40 % of malicious nodes	78
5.1	Frame work of CLUS	82
5.2	CLUS operations model	84
5.3	Comparison of CLUS throughput with various malicious nodes in percentage	89
5.4	Comparison of CLUS and ETUS with 40 % of malicious nodes	89
5.5	Comparison of generic ETUS, TBUT, CLUS and ETUS in presence of 40 % of malicious nodes	90
5.6	False negative, for 40% selfish node with node mobility varying between 0 and 20 m/s	90
5.7	False Negatives Comparison of CLUS and ETUS with 40 % of malicious nodes	92
5.8	False Negatives Comparison of generic ETUS, TBUT, CLUS and ETUS in presence of 40 % of malicious nodes	92
5.9	Accusation probability as a function of mobility and percentage malicious nodes	94

5.10	False Positives Comparison of CLUS and ETUS with 40 % of malicious nodes	94
5.11	False Positives Comparison of generic ETUS, TBUT, CLUS and ETUS in presence of 40 % of malicious nodes	95
5.12	Communication Overhead Vs Mobility with various percentages of malicious nodes	95
5.13	Communication Overhead Comparison of CLUS and ETUS with 40 % of malicious nodes	96
5.14	Communication Overhead Comparison of generic ETUS, TBUT, CLUS and ETUS in presence of 40 % of malicious nodes	96

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ALP	-	Adaptive Link State Protocol
AODV	-	Ad Hoc On Demand Distance Vector routing protocol
BEMRP	-	Bandwidth Efficient Multicast Routing Protocol
CBM	-	Content Based Multicast
CBRP	-	Cluster Based Routing Protocol
CEDAR	-	Core Extraction Distributed Ad Hoc Routing
CGSR	-	Cluster-Head Gateway Switch Routing Protocol
CLUS	-	Cross Layer Umpiring System
CO	-	Communication Overhear
DREAM	-	Distance Routing Effect Algorithm for Mobility
DSDV	-	Destination Sequence Distance Vector
DSR	-	Dynamic Source Routing Protocol
DSR	-	Dynamic Source Routing Protocol
DYMO	-	Dynamic MANET On Demand Routing
ETUS	-	Enhanced Triple Umpiring System
FORP	-	Flow-Oriented Routing Protocol
FSR	-	Fisheye State Routing Protocol
GETUS	-	Generic Enhance Triple Umpiring System
GLS	-	Grid Location Service
GPSR	-	Greedy Perimeter Stateless Routing
GSR	-	Global State Routing Protocol
IDS	-	Intrusion Detection System
IERP	-	Inter-zone Routing Protocol
LANMAR	-	Landmark Ad Hoc Routing Protocol
LAR	-	Location Aided Routing
LBM	-	Location Based Multicast Algorithms

LMR	-	Lightweight Mobile Routing
LRR	-	Least Resistance Routing
MANET	-	Mobile Ad Hoc Network
MAODV	-	Multicast Ad Hoc On-Demand Distance Vector
MCEDAR	-	Multicast Core Extraction Distributed Ad Hoc Routing
MDSR	-	Multipath Dynamic Source Routing
NHDP	-	Neighborhood Discovery Protocol
ODMRP	-	On-Demand Multicast Routing Protocol
OLSR	-	Optimized Link State Routing Protocol
PDA	-	Personal Data Assistants
PDR		Packet Delivery Ratio
PLBR	-	Preferred Link Based Routing
RABR	-	Route-Lifetime Assessment Based Routing
RBM	-	Role Based Multicast
RDMAR	-	Relative Distance Micro-discovery Ad Hoc Routing
RERR	-	Route Error
RIP	-	Routing Internet Protocol
ROAM	-	Routing On Demand Acyclic Multipath
RREQ	-	Route Request
SAODV	-	Secure Ad Hoc On Demand Distance Vector routing protocol
STAR	-	Source Tree Adaptive Routing Protocol
TBUT	-	Token Based Umpiring Technique
WRP	-	Wireless Routing Protocol
ZHLS	-	Zone Based Hierarchical Link State