

# List of Figures

1.1	Cartoon representation of a 3D protein structure is shown. . . . .	1
1.2	Timescale of protein motions . . . . .	2
1.3	Schematic representation of the one-dimensional free energy landscape of a protein is shown. . . . .	3
1.4	Methyl-containing residues in proteins are shown. . . . .	5
1.5	Schematic illustration of the Lipari-Szabo model-free internal autocorrelation function describing the motion of a nuclear spin interaction vector within the molecular frame of an isotropically tumbling macromolecule. . . . .	9
2.1	Schematic illustrating the forcefield. . . . .	16
2.2	Schematic of 2D periodic boundary conditions is shown. . . . .	23
2.3	Flow chart illustrating the molecular dynamics simulations. . . . .	24
3.1	Schematic representation of the angular coordinates $\theta$ and $\phi$ determining the orientation of the symmetry axis (unit vector along $C_1$ - $C_2$ bond vector) of a methyl group in a protein. $C_1$ is the methyl carbon and $C_2$ , $C_3$ , $C_4$ are the consecutive heavy atoms attached to $C_1$ . . . . .	28
3.2	Cartoon representation of all protein systems investigated. . . . .	32
3.3	Scatter plots of $\theta$ - $\phi$ obtained from five 10-ns MD trajectories and conformational free energy profiles computed using ABF method (solid line) shown for representative side chains of proteins: ubiquitin (black), HIV-1 protease (red), myoglobin (blue), 1A45 (violet) and FNfn10 (green). . . . .	36
3.4	Scatter plots of $\theta$ - $\phi$ obtained from five 10-ns MD trajectories and conformational free energy profiles computed using ABF method (solid line) are shown for a few alanine residues. . . . .	37

3.5	Scatter plots of $\theta$ - $\phi$ obtained from five 10-ns MD trajectories and conformational free energy profiles computed using ABF method (solid line) shown for representative side chains that exhibit poor conformational sampling during MD simulation : ubiquitin(black), HIV-1 protease (red), myoglobin (blue), 1A45 (violet) and FNfn10 (green). . . . .	38
3.6	Distribution of free energy barriers of all methyl-containing residues of all proteins studied. . . . .	39
3.7	Distribution of free energy barriers of all methyl-containing residues shown for individual proteins studied. . . . .	40
3.8	(a) Free energy profiles (black) obtained from ABF simulations fitted with a truncated fourier expansion (red) for a few methyl-containing residues of ubiquitin is shown. (b) Distribution of the absolute values of $\Delta E_0$ , $\Delta E_1$ , $\Delta E_2$ , and $\Delta E_3$ obtained from the truncated Fourier expansion of the free energy profiles of all methyl residues of all proteins studied. . . . .	42
3.9	Distribution of $\Delta F_i/\Delta F$ for (a)the first peak (b)the second peak (c)the third peak of $P(\Delta F)$ (d) distribution of $\Delta F_i$ . . . . .	43
3.10	Distribution of experimental $\tau_e$ values is shown. . . . .	45
3.11	Correlation between $\langle \Delta F \rangle_{ABF}$ and $\langle \Delta F \rangle_{EXP}$ for fast residues is shown. . . . .	46
3.12	Variation of X-ray diffraction-based electron density (black) and ABF-based free energy profiles (red) as a function of $\phi$ dihedral angle for a few representative residues are shown. . . . .	46
3.13	(a) Distribution of free energy barriers for different types of residues of all proteins studied. . . . .	49
3.13	(b) $P(\Delta F)$ for specific side chain rotameric transitions shown for different residue types. . . . .	50
3.14	Distribution of number of heavy atoms located within $5\text{\AA}$ of a given methyl carbon is shown for representative prochiral methyls of LEU (LEU $\delta^1$ (black) and LEU $\delta^2$ (red)) and VAL (VAL $\gamma^1$ (black) and VAL $\gamma^2$ (red)). . . . .	52

3.15	Distribution of average free energy barriers for three classes of side chain of all proteins. . . . .	54
3.16	Schematic diagram illustrating different types of side chain rotamers based on $\Delta E_{min}$ and $\Delta E_{max}$ . . . . .	55
3.17	Barrier map representing the variation of minimum energy barrier ( $\Delta E_{min}$ ) with maximum energy barrier ( $\Delta E_{max}$ ) for (a) all rotamer states (b) high-density (greater than $66.67 \text{ (kcal/mol)}^{-2}$ ) regions of $\Delta E_{min}/\Delta E_{max}$ space are shown. . . . .	56
3.18	Barrier map representing the variation of minimum energy barrier ( $\Delta E_{min}$ ) with maximum energy barrier ( $\Delta E_{max}$ ) shown for different residue types. . . . .	57
3.19	Comparison of the calculated (a) $O_{axis,MD}^2$ (b) $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ with experimental $O_{axis,EXP}^2$ is shown for six out of eight proteins studied here. . . . .	59
3.20	The correlation between $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ with $O_{axis,EXP}^2$ is shown for fast methyl-bearing residues with $\tau_e \leq 30\text{ps}$ . Inset shows data for residues with $\tau_e \leq 30\text{ps}$ and $O_{axis,EXP}^2 \leq 0.4$ . . . . .	60
3.21	Distributions of $O_{axis}^2$ for (a) individual proteins (b) overall (c) different residue types are shown. $P(O_{axis,EXP}^2)$ (black), $P(O_{axis,MD}^2)$ (red) and $P(O_{axis,ABF}^2)$ (blue). . . . .	62
3.22	(a) The experimental (dashed lines) and MD-derived (solid lines) correlation between $P_{\%}(O_{axis}^2)$ and $O_{axis}^2$ shown for Class-1 (black), Class-2 (red), and Class-3 (green) residues (b) Correlation of experimental $\langle O_{axis,EXP}^2 \rangle$ (filled triangle), MD-derived $\langle O_{axis,MD}^2 \rangle$ (filled circle) with the average free energy barrier $\langle \langle \Delta F \rangle \rangle$ shown for different residue types: MET (blue), ILE $^{\delta}$ (maroon), LEU $^{\delta 1}$ (black), LEU $^{\delta 2}$ (red), ILE $^{\gamma}$ (green), VAL $^{\gamma 1}$ (indigo), VAL $^{\gamma 2}$ (violet), and THR (orange). . . . .	64
3.23	The normalized forward probability flux ( $J_F^N$ ) versus normalized reverse probability flux ( $J_R^N$ ) demonstrating the principle of detailed balance. . . . .	65
3.24	(a) Variation of probability flux ( $J_F^N$ ) with $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ and (b) Variation of conformational entropy ( $S_{conf}$ ) with $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ for different classes of side chain of all proteins studied. . . . .	67

3.25	Conformational entropy ( $S_{conf}$ ) versus $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ shown for different residue types. . . . .	68
3.26	Dependence of $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ on the populations of major ( $\rho_1$ - open circles) and intermediate ( $\rho_2$ - filled circles) states color coded for individual proteins. . .	73
3.27	Dependence of $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ on the populations of major ( $\rho_1$ - open circles) and intermediate ( $\rho_2$ - filled circles) states for different types of residue from all proteins using the same color code. . . . .	74
4.1	Schematic illustration of the division of the system into sub-system-I and sub-system-II (b) Sub-system-I corresponds to a methyl-containing residue while the remaining residues of the protein, solvents and ions constitute the sub-system-II. The reaction coordinates ( $\theta$ and $\phi$ ) that describe methyl dynamics are also shown. . . . .	83
4.2	Scatter plots of $\theta/\phi$ obtained from MD trajectories and conformational free energy profiles computed using the ABF method (solid line) shown for representative side chains in the gas phase (red) and in protein (black). . . . .	90
4.3	Schematic illustration of conformational energy surfaces of a few residues in the gas phase (black) and in the protein environment (red). . . . .	90
4.4	Schematic illustration of a typical side chain conformational energy surface showing activation barriers and relative rotamer energies. . . . .	94
4.5	A few representative side chain free energy profiles fitted using piecewise approach are shown. . . . .	95
4.6	A representative side chain free energy profile fitted piecewise with different three-fold symmetric functions. . . . .	96
4.7	Comparison of $\Delta\xi_{ABF}$ obtained directly from ABF-derived free energy profiles with $\Delta\xi_S$ obtained from piecewise integration approach. . . . .	98
4.8	Comparison of $\Delta\xi_{ABF}$ obtained directly from ABF-derived free energy profiles with $\Delta\xi_S$ obtained from piecewise integration approach using CHARMM (black) and AMBER (red) force-field parameters. . . . .	98

---

4.9	A few representative side chain free energy profiles fitted using the truncated Fourier expansion are shown. . . . .	100
4.10	Comparison of $\Delta\xi_{ABF}$ obtained directly from ABF-derived free energy profiles with $\Delta\xi_F$ obtained from truncated Fourier expansion method. . . . .	101
4.11	Comparison of $\Delta\xi_{ABF}$ obtained directly from ABF-derived free energy profiles with $\Delta\xi_F$ obtained from truncated Fourier expansion method using CHARMM (black) and AMBER (red) force-field parameters. . . . .	101
4.12	The locations of methyl groups color coded based on their $\Delta\xi$ values are shown for all proteins studied. . . . .	103
4.13	Dependance of $O_{axis}^2$ on $\Delta\xi$ fitted with a sigmoidal function of the form given by Eq. 22. . . . .	105
4.14	Dependance of $O_{axis}^2$ on $\Delta\xi$ for eight proteins studied using CHARMM parameters (black) and for ubiquitin studied using AMBER (red) parameters. . .	105
4.15	Dependance of $S_{conf}$ on $\Delta\xi$ fitted with a sigmoidal function of the form given in Eq. 23. . . . .	106
4.16	Dependance of $S_{conf}$ on $\Delta\xi$ for eight proteins studied using CHARMM parameters (black) and for ubiquitin studied using AMBER parameters(red). . .	107
5.1	Ligand-free CaM and five ligand-bound CaM complexes are shown. . . . .	116
5.2	Free energy surfaces in ligand-free and all ligand-bound states of a few representative methyl-containing residues of CaM: Free CaM (black), CaM-smMLCKp complex (red), CaM-CaMKK complex (green), CaM-eNOS complex (blue), CaM-CaMKI complex (indigo), CaM-nNOS complex (brown) are shown. . .	124
5.3	(a) Distribution of free energy barriers of all methyl-containing residues of all proteins studied is shown. . . . .	125
5.4	Distribution of ligand-induced changes in the average free energy barrier, $\overline{\Delta E}$ , ( $\Delta\overline{\Delta E}$ ) for all methyl-containing residues is shown. . . . .	126
5.5	Comparison of free energy barriers in ligand-free ( $\Delta E_{free}$ ) and ligand-bound ( $\Delta E_{bound}$ ) states of CaM. . . . .	127

5.6	The variation of minimum energy barrier ( $\Delta E_{min}$ ) with maximum energy barrier ( $\Delta E_{max}$ ) for all rotamer states of Class 1 (VAL $^{\gamma^1, \gamma^2}$ , THR $^{\gamma^2}$ , and ILE $^{\gamma^2}$ ), Class 2 (LEU $^{\delta^1, \delta^2}$ and ILE $^{\delta}$ ), and Class 3 (MET) residues of all systems studied is shown. . . . .	128
5.7	Variation of ligand-induced changes in minimum energy barrier ( $\Delta \Delta E_{min}$ ) with ligand-induced changes in maximum energy barrier ( $\Delta \Delta E_{max}$ ) for all methyl-containing residues is shown. . . . .	129
5.8	Distributions of ABF- and MD-derived $O_{axis}^2$ ( $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ & $O_{axis,MD}^2$ ) for each system studied is shown. . . . .	131
5.9	Overall distribution of $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ and $O_{axis,MD}^2$ is shown. . . . .	132
5.10	Overall distribution of $\Delta O_{axis,ABF}^2$ and $\Delta O_{axis,MD}^2$ is shown. . . . .	133
5.11	Probability distributions of the ligand-induced changes in $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ , $P(\Delta O_{axis,ABF}^2)$ , for all CaM-peptide complexes and Probability distributions of the ligand-induced changes in $O_{axis,MD}^2$ , $P(\Delta O_{axis,MD}^2)$ , for all CaM-peptide complexes are shown. . . . .	134
5.12	Probability distributions of the ligand-induced changes in $O_{axis,EXP}^2$ , $P(\Delta O_{axis,EXP}^2)$ , for all CaM-peptide complexes are shown. . . . .	135
5.13	Correlation between $P_{\%}(\Delta O_{axis}^2)$ and $\Delta O_{axis}^2$ is shown for NMR (EXP)-, ABF- and NMR-derived $O_{axis}^2$ of all systems studied. . . . .	137
5.14	Correlation between $\Delta S_{conf}$ and $\Delta O_{axis,ABF}^2$ for all methyl-containing residues is shown. . . . .	141
5.15	Dependence of $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ on the populations of major ( $\rho_1$ -open circles) and intermediate ( $\rho_2$ -filled circles) states for ligand-free and ligand-bound states of CaM. . . . .	142
5.16	Schematic of parabolic correlation between $O_{axis}^2$ and $\rho$ demonstrating the least and most probable changes in $\Delta O_{axis}^2$ upon ligand-binding and difference in the probabilities having same $\Delta O_{axis}^2$ is shown . . . . .	143
5.17	Schematic illustrating the decrease and increase in entropy due to $O_{axis}^2$ transitions upon ligand-binding . . . . .	144

5.18	Variation of change in side chain flexibility, $\Delta O_{axis}^2$ with change in major rotamer population, $\Delta\rho_1$ (black) and with change in intermediate rotamer population, $\Delta\rho_2$ (red) is shown for all systems studied. . . . .	145
6.1	Ubiquitin maintained at different pressures during MD simulations. . . . .	150
6.2	(a) Free energy profiles at different pressures (0.001 kbar-black, 0.4 kbar-red, 0.8 kbar-green, 1.2 kbar-blue, 1.6 kbar-violet, 2.5 kbar-violet) and (b) Variation of $O_{axis}^2$ with pressure are shown for few representative methyl-containing residues. . . . .	151
6.3	Distribution of $O_{axis}^2$ at different pressure for ubiquitin is shown. . . . .	153
6.4	(a) Distribution of $dO_{axis}^2/dP$ is shown. (b) Dependence of $O_{axis,ABF}^2$ on the populations of major ( $\rho_1$ - filled circles) and intermediate ( $\rho_2$ - open circles) states color coded for different pressures. . . . .	154
6.5	(a) Overlay of ribbon representations of ubiquitin structures at ambient pressure (red) and at 2.5 kbar pressure (green) is shown (b) Time evolution of radius of gyration ( $R_g$ ) of ubiquitin at ambient and 2.5 kbar pressures is shown.	155
7.1	Structures of apo-CAP, CAP-cAMP2, CAP-cAMP2-DNA are shown. . . . .	157
7.2	CAP-cAMP interaction map, the time evolution of torsional angle, $\phi$ , and the conformational free energy profiles, $F(\phi)$ , of the representative hotspot residues in apo-CAP (black), CAP-cAMP2 (red), CAP-cAMP2-DNA (blue) are shown. . . . .	161
7.3	Root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of all residues of one of the subunit of apo-CAP, CAP-cAMP2 and CAP-cAMP2-DNA are shown.b) Time evolution of the distance between centre of mass of cAMP molecules in CAP-cAMP2 and CAP-cAMP2-DNA is shown. . . . .	162
7.4	Definition of DNA bend angle, $\theta_b$ , with three DNA segments color coded differently and their COMs are shown as spheres. b) Time evolution of the $\theta_b$ for four independent MD-trajectories is shown. . . . .	163
7.5	CAP-cAMP2-DNA with different DNA-bend angle, $\theta_b$ , are shown. . . . .	164

---

7.6	Variation of average number of intra H-bonds, $\langle N_{hb} \rangle$ with $\theta_b$ is shown. . . . .	164
7.7	Distributions of $\Delta\rho$ of all rotamer states upon cAMP2 binding are shown. . . . .	166
7.8	Distributions of $\Delta O_{axis}^2$ , $P(\Delta O_{axis}^2)$ , for apo-CAP upon cAMP2 and DNA binding are shown. . . . .	167
7.9	The representative free energy profiles associated with the glycosidic angle ( $\chi$ ) in CAP-cAMP2-DNA are shown. The scattered data shows the time evolution of $\chi$ obtained from MD-trajectories . . . . .	168
7.10	Distributions of free energy barriers, $P(\Delta F_d)$ , of all nucleotides are shown b) Decomposition of $P(\Delta F_d)$ based on the conformational state is shown c) Decomposition of $P(\Delta F_d)$ based on the conformational transition path is shown.	169
7.11	Variation of $\Delta E_{min}$ with $\Delta E_{max}$ for all nucleotides is shown. . . . .	170