

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Figure Caption	Page No.
Fig. 1.1	Material Classifications.	4
Fig. 1.2	(a) Thin wire array (b) Unit cell geometry (c) Variation of permittivity with frequency.	6
Fig. 1.3	(a) An array of split ring resonators (b) Variation of permeability with frequency.	7
Fig. 1.4	(a) Design of an array of combined structure (SRR–Thin wire) (b) Transmitted Power w.r.t Frequency.	8
Fig. 1.5	(a) A negative index metamaterial formed by combining SRRs and wires on the opposite sides of a substrate (b) variation of normalized power w.r.t the angle for Teflon (in blue) and negative refractive index metamaterial (in red) and (c) diagram of the geometry used for the experimental investigation of negative index of refraction.	9
Fig. 1.6.	(a) Salisbury Screen (b) Jaumann Absorber (c) Dallenbach Layer.	10
Fig. 1.7.	(a) Geometric transition absorber design and (b) Low density absorber.	11
Fig. 1.8	Variations of absorptivity as a function of frequency and thickness.	15
Fig. 1.9	(a) Focusing of light rays from a point source through a negative index lens and (b) perfect imaging through amplification of evanescent waves.	16
Fig.1.10.	Electric field variations in invisibility cloak.	17
Fig. 1.11	Gain improvement using (a) cavity between PEC and PRS and (b) PMC and PRS.	17
Fig. 1.12	(a) Individual inductive and capacitive element of an electrically small metamaterial dipole antenna (b) Electrically-small dipole inside the metamaterial-based ENG shell and (b) variation of radiated power w.r.t to negative permittivity.	18
Fig. 1.13	Metamaterial based coax-fed monopole antenna.	19
Fig. 1.14	(a) LHM based Patch antenna and (b) variation of antenna gain with and without LHM w.r.t frequency.	19
Fig. 2.1	SRR based metamaterial absorber (a) design arrangement (b) unit cell design (c) simulated response.	24
Fig. 2.2	(a) Unit cell design of the perfect metamaterial absorber at microwave frequency (b) simulated absorption response.	25
Fig. 2.3	Simulated absorption response for multiple layers.	25
Fig. 2.4	(a) Unit cell design of the perfect metamaterial absorber at THz frequency (b) simulated absorption response.	26
Fig. 2.5	(a) ELC based absorber with continuous metallic ground and (b) its absorptivity response.	27
Fig. 2.6	(a) Unit cell design of the perfect metamaterial absorber at microwave frequency (b) equivalent circuit and (c) simulated absorption response .	27

Fig. 2.7	(a) Metallic array of the absorber structure placed orthogonal to propagation direction (b) single unit cell design of the microwave metamaterial absorber.	28
Fig. 2.8	First experimental demonstration of multiple structure mechanism to get multiple resonance peaks.	30
Fig. 2.9	Design and response of the first proposed dual-band absorber at microwave frequency.	31
Fig. 2.10	(a) Unit cell design dual band metamaterial absorber with identical structures embedded one inside another and its (b) absorption response.	31
Fig. 2.11	Design and reflection response of dual band absorber based on combination of different FSS elements.	32
Fig. 2.12	Unit cell design and absorption response the first multi-resonant structure based dual-band absorber at THz frequency.	33
Fig. 2.13	Unit cell design and absorption response of the multi-resonant dual-band absorber at THz frequency.	33
Fig. 2.14	(a) Unit cell design of metamaterial dual band absorber based on shorted stubs and its (b) simulated and experimental absorption response.	34
Fig. 2.15	(a) Multi-resonant unit cell design of triple band metamaterial absorber and its (b) simulated absorption response.	35
Fig. 2.16	(a) Unit cell design of the multi-resonant penta band metamaterial absorber and its (b) simulated absorption response.	35
Fig. 2.17	(a) Multilayer structure of metamaterial absorber (b) simulated and experimental reflection response.	36
Fig. 2.18	(a) Dual band metamaterial absorber based on resonant magnetic structures and its (b) absorption response.	36
Fig. 2.19	(a) Ultrathin multiband metamaterial absorber based on scaling of individual designs in the unit cell and its (b) Absorption response.	37
Fig. 2.20	Flower shaped metamaterial multiband absorber.	38
Fig. 2.21	(a) Unit cell design of the bandwidth enhanced multilayer metamaterial absorber and its (b) measured and simulated absorption response.	39
Fig. 2.22	(a) Unit cell design of the bandwidth enhanced multilayer metamaterial absorber and its (b) Simulated absorption response for varying thickness of top most dielectric layer.	39
Fig. 2.23	(a) Metamaterial absorber based on multi-square patches e (b) simulated absorption response.	40
Fig. 2.24	(a) Unit cell design of the bandwidth enhanced metamaterial absorber and (b) simulated absorption response.	41
Fig. 2.25	(a) Unit cell design of a bandwidth enhanced absorber based on Jerusalem cross slot with lumped patch resistors and its reflection response.	41

Fig. 2.26	(a) Unit cell and fabricated design of lumped element based metamaterial absorber (b) simulated absorption response for various polarization angles.	42
Fig. 2.27	(a) Unit cell design of bandwidth enhanced metamaterial absorber and (b) experimental absorption response for various polarization angles.	43
Fig. 2.28.	(a) Unit cell design of the bandwidth enhanced interconnected rings based metamaterial absorber and its (b) simulated absorption response.	43
Fig. 2.29	a) Unit cell design of the circular split ring based bandwidth enhanced metamaterial absorber and its (b) simulated absorption response .	44
Fig. 2.30	(a) Unit cell design of the broadband single layer metamaterial absorber and its (b) simulated absorption response.	45
Fig. 3.1	(a) Unit cell of the proposed single band absorber and (b) excitation with periodic boundary conditions provided during simulation.	50
Fig. 3.2	(a) Reflection response S_{11} (dB) and (b) Simulated absorptivity response under normal incidence ($\theta = 0^\circ$).	52
Fig. 3.3	(a) Simulated absorptivity for (a) different polarization angles (ϕ) under normal incidence and (b) different incidence angles (θ) for TE polarization.	52
Fig. 3.4	Surface current distribution for 10.01 GHz at (a) Top surface and (b) Bottom surface.	53
Fig. 3.5	(a) Electric and (b) magnetic field distribution at the absorption frequency of 10.01 GHz.	54
Fig. 3.6	Simulated absorptivity for different loop configurations.	55
Fig. 3.7	(a) Top layer of the absorber (b) bottom layer with square slots at corners and (c) simulated S_{11} and S_{21} .	56
Fig. 3.8	Normalized impedance plot for the single band triple square loop based metamaterial absorber.	57
Fig. 3.9	Retrieved parameters of (a) real and (b) imaginary parts of effective permittivity and permeability.	58
Fig. 3.10	Comparison of loss contributions for different material assignment.	59
Fig. 3.11	(a) Fabricated prototype and (b) measurement Setup.	60
Fig. 3.12	Comparison of measured and simulated responses for triple square loop based absorber under normal incidence.	61
Fig. 3.13	(a) Measured absorptivity for (a) different polarization angles (ϕ) under normal incidence and (b) different incidence angles (θ) under TE polarization.	61
Fig. 3.14	(a) Unit cell design of double square loop metamaterial absorber (b) absorptivity variations w.r.t intermediate gap (g_1) between the loops.	63
Fig. 3.15	Unit cell design of the convoluted square loop absorber.	64
Fig. 3.16	Simulated (a) S_{11} (dB) and (b) absorptivity of the double square loop based convoluted metamaterial absorber.	65

Fig. 3.17	Field distribution: (a) electric field and (b) magnetic field.	65
Fig. 3.18	Surface current distribution (a) top layer (b) bottom layer.	66
Fig. 3.19	Absorptivity response of the double square loop based convoluted metamaterial absorber for (a) different polarization angles (ϕ) under normal incidence and (b) different incident angles (θ) for TE polarization.	67
Fig. 3.20	Impedance plot of the Double Square loop convoluted metamaterial absorber.	67
Fig. 3.21	(a) Top layer (b) bottom layer with square cuts of the Double Square loop convoluted metamaterial absorber.	68
Fig. 3.22	S_{11} and S_{21} response of Double Square loop convoluted metamaterial absorber.	69
Fig. 3.23	Retrieved parameters of (a) real and (b) imaginary parts of effective permittivity and permeability of the Double Square loop convoluted metamaterial absorber.	69
Fig. 3.24	(a) Fabricated Prototype with zoom view in inset (b) Measurement Setup.	70
Fig. 3.25	Comparison of measured and simulated responses under normal incidence with g_1 equals to 0.2 mm.	71
Fig. 3.26	Measured absorptivity for different polarization angles (ϕ) under normal incidence.	71
Fig. 3.27	Measured absorptivity for different incidence angles (θ) under TE polarization.	72
Fig. 3.28	Unit cell design of JCS based metamaterial absorber.	73
Fig. 3.29	Simulated absorptivity of the JCS based metamaterial absorber under normal incidence.	74
Fig. 3.30	Absorption response of square loop, JCS slot and their combination.	75
Fig. 3.31	Absorptivity response for (a) various angles of polarization under normal incidence and for (b) various incident angles for TE polarization.	76
Fig. 3.32	(a) Electric and (b) magnetic field distribution of the JCS based metamaterial absorber.	77
Fig. 3.33	Surface Current distribution at the (a) top and (b) bottom layer of the JCS based metamaterial absorber.	77
Fig. 3.34	Normalized Impedance plot for JCS based metamaterial absorber.	78
Fig. 3.35	(a) Top layer (b) bottom layer with square cuts of the JCS based metamaterial absorber.	79
Fig. 3.36	S_{11} and S_{21} response of JCS based metamaterial absorber.	79
Fig. 3.37	Retrieved parameters of (a) real and (b) imaginary parts of effective permittivity and permeability of the JCS based metamaterial absorber.	80
Fig. 3.38	Fabricated Prototype and its enlarged view for a section.	81
Fig. 3.39	Measurement setup.	81
Fig. 3.40	Comparison of simulated and measured absorptivity of JCS based absorber under normal incidence.	82

Fig. 3.41	Measured absorptivity for different polarization angles (ϕ) under normal incidence.	82
Fig. 3.42	Measured absorptivity for different incidence angles (θ) under TE polarization.	83
Fig. 4.1	Unit cell design of the proposed dual band metamaterial absorber.	88
Fig. 4.2	Simulated absorptivity response under normal incidence.	89
Fig. 4.3	Simulated response for different angles of polarization under normal incidence	90
Fig. 4.4	Simulated response for different angles incidence wave under TE polarization and (b) TM polarization.	91
Fig. 4.5	Surface current distributions for 3.99 GHz at (a) top layer (b) bottom layer and for 7.15 GHz (c) top layer (d) bottom layer.	92
Fig. 4.6	Field distributions for 3.99 GHz (a) electric field (b) magnetic field and for 7.15 GHz (c) electric field (d) magnetic field.	93
Fig. 4.7	E-Field Vector at 3.99 GHz for (a) $\theta = 0^\circ$ and (b) $\theta = 60^\circ$ and H-Field Vector at 3.99 GHz for (c) $\theta = 0^\circ$ and (d) $\theta = 60^\circ$.	94
Fig. 4.8	Normalized input impedance of the dual band absorber.	95
Fig. 4.9	Simulated S_{11} and S_{21} response for retrieval of effective parameters.	96
Fig. 4.10	Retrieved parameters of (a) real and (b) imaginary parts of effective permittivity and permeability of the dual band metamaterial absorber.	96
Fig. 4.11	Fabricated Prototype of the proposed dual band metamaterial absorber structure.	98
Fig. 4.12	Measurement setup with UWB horn antennas and fabricated prototype.	99
Fig. 4.13	Comparison of measured and simulated absorptivity response under normal incidence for TE polarization.	99
Fig. 4.14	Measured absorptivity response for different angles of polarization under normal incidence.	100
Fig. 4.15	Measured absorptivity response for different incidence angles for TE polarization.	100
Fig. 4.16	Measured absorptivity response for different incidence angles for TM polarization.	101
Fig. 4.17	Unit cell design of the proposed triple band metamaterial absorber.	102
Fig. 4.18	Simulated absorptivity under normal incidence for TE polarization.	103
Fig. 4.19	Simulated absorptivity of triple band absorber for various angles of polarization under normal incidence.	103
Fig. 4.20	Simulated absorptivity of triple band absorber for various incidence angles with TE polarization.	104
Fig. 4.21	Field distribution at 4.97 GHz (a) electric field (b) magnetic field, at 11.27 GHz (c) electric field (d) magnetic field and at 13.43 GHz (e) electric field (f) magnetic field.	105

Fig. 4.22	Surface current distribution at 4.97 GHz (a) Top (b) bottom, at 11.27 GHz (c) Top (d) Bottom and at 13.43 GHz (e) Top (f) Bottom.	106
Fig. 4.23	Absorption peaks contributed by the individual ELC resonators.	107
Fig. 4.24	Normalized input impedance of the triple band metamaterial absorber.	109
Fig. 4.25	Simulated S_{11} and S_{21} for the retrieval of electromagnetic parameters for the proposed triple band absorber.	110
Fig. 4.26	Retrieved parameters of (a) real and (b) imaginary parts of effective permittivity and permeability of the triple band metamaterial absorber.	111
Fig. 4.27	Fabricated prototype of triple band metamaterial absorber	113
Fig. 4.28	Measurement setup.	113
Fig. 4.29	Comparison of measured and simulated response of triple band metamaterial absorber. under normal incidence for TE polarization.	114
Fig. 4.30	Measured absorptivity of triple band metamaterial absorber for different polarization (ϕ) angles of the incident wave under normal incidence.	115
Fig. 4.31	Measured absorptivity of triple band metamaterial absorber for different angles of incidence (θ) wave with TE polarization.	115
Fig. 5.1	Proposed wideband metamaterial absorber (a) Unit cell design and its (b) Simulated absorptivity under normal incidence. (Dimensions of unit cell: $S = 3.95$ mm, $L = 5.8$ mm, $g = 0.2$ mm, $h = 1.6$ mm).	119
Fig 5.2.	(a) Front view of the unit cell of the proposed wideband absorber, (b) simulated absorptivity under normal incidence.	120
Fig. 5.3	Simulated absorptivity for different polarization angles (ϕ) under normal incidence	121
Fig. 5.4	Simulated absorptivity for different incidence angles (θ) under TE polarization.	121
Fig. 5.5	Field distribution for 5.34 GHz (a) electric and (b) magnetic field.	122
Fig. 5.6	Field distribution for 6.33 GHz (a) electric and (b) magnetic field.	120
Fig. 5.7	Surface current distribution for 5.34 GHz (a) top Layer and (b) bottom layer.	123
Fig. 5.8	Surface current distribution for 6.33 GHz (a) top Layer and (b) bottom layer.	123
Fig. 5.9	Simulated absorption for different values of 'L' of hexagonal patch.	124
Fig. 5.10	Simulated absorption for different positions of circular slots.	125
Fig. 5.11	Simulated absorption for different diameters of circular slots.	126
Fig. 5.12	(a) Fabricated prototype and its (b) enlarged view.	127
Fig. 5.13	Comparison of simulated and measured absorptivity.	127

Fig. 5.14	Measured absorptivity for different polarization angles under normal incidence.	128
Fig. 5.15	Measured absorptivity for different oblique incidence angles under TE polarization.	128
Fig. 5.16	Unit cell geometry of the proposed low profile wideband metamaterial absorber.	130
Fig. 5.17	Simulated absorptivity for TE polarization under normal incidence.	131
Fig. 5.18	Simulated absorptivity for different incidence angles for TE polarization.	132
Fig. 5.19	Simulated absorptivity for different angles of polarization under normal incidence.	132
Fig. 5.20	Electric field distribution at (a) 9.02 GHz and (b) 11.53 GHz.	133
Fig. 5.21	Magnetic field distribution at (a) 9.02 GHz and (b) 11.53 GHz.	133
Fig. 5.22	Simulated absorptivity for different values of length (L) of the proposed absorber structure.	134
Fig. 5.23	Simulated absorptivity for different values of width (W) of the proposed absorber structure.	135
Fig. 5.24	Surface current distribution at 9.02 GHz (a) top surface and (b) bottom surface of the proposed absorber.	135
Fig. 5.25	Surface current distribution at 11.53 GHz (a) top surface and (b) bottom surface of the proposed absorber.	136
Fig. 5.26	Fabricated sample of the proposed wideband metamaterial absorber.	137
Fig. 5.27	Comparison of simulated and measured absorption response for TE polarization under normal incidence.	138
Fig. 5.28	Measured absorption under oblique incidence (θ) for TE polarization	138
Fig. 5.29	Measured absorption for different polarization angles (ϕ) under normal incidence.	139
Fig. 5.30	Front view of the proposed low profile wideband unit cell structure.	140
Fig. 5.31	Simulated absorptivity under normal incidence.	141
Fig. 5.32	Simulated absorptivity for different incidence angles (θ) under TE polarization.	141
Fig. 5.33	Simulated absorptivity different polarization angles (ϕ) under normal incidence of the proposed absorber structure.	142
Fig. 5.34	Simulated field distribution at 9.33 GHz (a) electric field (b) magnetic field and at 12.72 GHz (c) electric field (d) magnetic field of the proposed structure.	143
Fig. 5.35	Simulated surface current distributions at 9.33 GHz at (a) top surface and (b) bottom surface and at 12.72 GHz at (c) top surface (d) bottom surface.	144
Fig. 5.36	Simulated absorptivity (a) for different edge dimension 'S' of the proposed inclined hexagonal absorber structure.	145
Fig. 5.37	Simulated absorptivity for the analysis of origin of absorption peaks in the proposed absorber structure.	145
Fig. 5.38	Fabricated wideband ultrathin absorber structure.	146
Fig. 5.39	Comparison of simulated and measured absorptivity under normal incidence.	147
Fig. 5.40	Measured absorptivity for different incidence angles (θ) under TE polarization.	148
Fig. 5.41	Measured absorptivity for different polarization angles (ϕ) under normal incidence.	148

Fig. 6.1	Front view of the proposed broadband ultrathin unit cell structure with geometrical dimensions.	152
Fig. 6.2	Simulated absorption response of the proposed structure under normal incidence.	153
Fig. 6.3	Simulated absorption for different polarization angles (φ) under normal incidence.	154
Fig. 6.4	Simulated absorption for different incidence angles (θ) under TE polarization of the proposed structure.	154
Fig. 6.5	Simulated electric field distribution at (a) 8.25 GHz (b) 11.72 GHz (c) 14.37 GHz and magnetic field distribution at (d) 8.25 GHz (e) 11.72 GHz (f) 14.37 GHz.	155
Fig. 6.6	Surface current distribution on (a) top surface and (b) bottom surface.	156
Fig. 6.7	Simulated absorption of the individual structures I and II.	157
Fig. 6.8	Simulated absorption for different values of 'L'.	158
Fig. 6.9	Simulated absorption for values of 'W ₁ ' of the structure I.	158
Fig. 6.10	Simulated absorption for different values of 'W ₂ ' of structure II.	159
Fig. 6.11	Simulated absorption for different values of 'L ₁ ' of the structure II.	160
Fig. 6.12	Fabricated prototype of broadband structure.	161
Fig. 6.13	Measurement setup.	161
Fig. 6.14	Measured reflections from copper surface, absorber surface and the evaluated actual reflection.	162
Fig. 6.15	Comparison of simulated and measured absorption under normal incidence.	162
Fig. 6.16	Measured absorption response for different polarization angles under normal incidence	163
Fig. 6.17	Measured absorption response for different incidence angles under TE polarization of the proposed structure.	163
Fig. 6.18	Front view of the proposed ultra-wideband unit cell structure (L = 3.5 mm, W = 1.4 mm, L ₁ = 1.7 mm, S ₁ = 2.54 mm and S ₂ = 0.56 mm).	166
Fig. 6.19	Simulated absorptivity response under normal incidence.	166
Fig. 6.20	Normalized input impedance plot of the proposed absorber structure.	167
Fig. 6.21	Simulated absorptivity of the proposed absorber for various polarization angles (φ) under normal incidence.	168
Fig. 6.22	Simulated absorptivity of the proposed absorber under different oblique incidence (θ) angles for TE polarizations.	168
Fig. 6.23	Simulated absorptivity of the proposed absorber under different oblique incidence (θ) angles for TM polarizations.	169
Fig. 6.24	Simulated field distribution at 11.13 GHz (a) electric field (b) magnetic field.	170
Fig. 6.25	Simulated field distribution at 15.99 GHz (c) electric field (d) magnetic field.	170
Fig. 6.26	Surface current distribution at 11.13 GHz (a) top surface (b) bottom surface.	171
Fig. 6.27	Surface current distribution at 15.99 GHz (c) top surface (d) bottom surface.	171
Fig. 6.28	Simulated absorptivity for different widths ('W') of the proposed absorber.	173
Fig. 6.29	Simulated absorptivity for different length ('L ₁ ') of the proposed absorber.	173

Fig. 6.30	Simulated absorptivity for different design configurations.	175
Fig. 6.31	Simulated absorptivity for different lengths of outer slanting edge ('S ₁ ').	175
Fig. 6.32	Simulated absorptivity for different lengths of inner slanting edge ('S ₂ ').	175
Fig. 6.33	Fabricated prototype of the absorber with its enlarged view in inset.	178
Fig. 6.34	Comparison of simulated and measured absorptivity under normal incidence.	178
Fig. 6.35	Measured absorptivity of the proposed absorber (a) for various polarization angles (φ) under normal incidence.	179
Fig. 6.36	Measured absorptivity of the proposed absorber for different oblique incidence angles (θ) for TE polarization.	180
Fig. 6.37	Measured absorptivity of the proposed absorber for different oblique incidence angles (θ) for TM polarization.	180

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Table Caption	Page No.
Table 3.1	Unit cell dimensions of the proposed narrowband absorber.	50
Table 3.2	Real and imaginary parts of the effective permittivity and permeability of single band triple square loop based metamaterial absorber.	58
Table 3.3	Effect of variations of intermediate gap ('g ₁ ') on absorption frequency and bandwidth.	63
Table 3.4	Unit cell dimensions of the convoluted square loop absorber.	64
Table 3.5	Dimensions of JCS based metamaterial absorber.	73
Table 3.6	Retrieved parameters of real and imaginary parts of effective permittivity and permeability for JCS based metamaterial absorber.	80
Table 3.7	Comparison of proposed absorbers with reported bandwidth enhanced absorbers.	84
Table 4.1	Optimized dimensions of double square loop based dual band absorber.	88
Table 4.2	Real and imaginary parts of normalized input impedance of dual band absorber.	94
Table 4.3	Real and imaginary parts of retrieved effective permittivity and permeability for dual band absorber.	95
Table 4.4	Comparison of the proposed dual band metamaterial absorber with the already existing dual band absorbers.	97
Table 4.5	Dimensions of unit cell of triple band absorber.	102
Table 4.6	Shifting of third absorption frequency with variations in dimensions of left side inner ELC resonator.	108
Table 4.7	Variation of first absorption frequency (f_1) with different values of 'L' for fixed 'W' equals to 0.5 mm.	108
Table 4.8	Variation of first absorption frequency (f_1) with different values of 'W' for fixed 'L' equals to 8.5 mm.	108
Table 4.9	Real and imaginary parts of normalized input impedance of triple band metamaterial absorber.	109
Table 4.10	Real and imaginary parts of retrieved effective permittivity and permeability for triple band absorber.	111
Table 4.11	Comparison of the proposed triple band metamaterial absorber with the already existing triple band absorbers.	113
Table 5.1	Absorption bandwidth for different values of slant length 'S'.	125

Table 5.2	Absorption bandwidth for different values of diameter (D) of circular slots.	126
Table 5.3	Comparison of proposed absorber with previous metamaterial absorbers.	129
Table 5.4	Dimensions of the unit cell of the proposed wideband absorber	131
Table 6.1	Optimized dimensions of the unit cell of the proposed broadband ultrathin absorber.	152
Table 6.2	Comparison of bandwidth enhanced metamaterials absorbers based on FWHM bandwidth with the proposed absorber.	164
Table 6.3	Variations in absorption frequencies w.r.t variation in length ' L_1 '.	173
Table 6.4	Variations in absorption frequencies w.r.t variation in length ' S_2 '.	176
Table 6.5	Comparison of the proposed absorber with previously presented designs.	176